## 1 - 8 Surface Density of Haloes<sup>\*</sup>

Lee Xiguo and Antonino Del POPOLO

In study of dark matter density distributions, there are three types of dark matter halo distributions of galaxies and clusters of galaxies. The NFW(Navarro-Frenk-White) profile<sup>[1]</sup>

$$\rho(r)_{\rm NFW} = \frac{\rho_0}{(\frac{r}{rr_0})(1 + (\frac{r}{r_s}))^2},\tag{1}$$

where  $\rho_0$  and  $r_s$  are parameters from halo to halo. The Burkert profile is

$$\rho(r)_{\text{Burkert}} = \frac{\rho_0}{\left(\frac{1+r}{r_0}\right)\left(1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^2\right)},\tag{2}$$

and isothermal profile



Fig. 1 (color online) show NFW profile (gree dotted line), Burkert profile(bule ditted line)and Quasi ISO profile (read full).

$$\rho(r)_{\rm ISO} = \frac{\rho_0}{(1 + (\frac{r}{r_{\rm s}}))^2},\tag{3}$$

where  $\rho_0$ ,  $r_0$  is the center density and radius of dark matter halos. The curves of (1), (2) and (3) are shown in Fig.1.

Fig.1 shows that there exist the cored density profile and cuspy problem in the Burkert profile, Quasi ISo profile and NFW profile. To overcome the cored and cuspy problems in dark matter density profile, we introduce a dark matter column density, averaged over the central part of an object<sup>[2]</sup>:

$$S = \frac{2}{r_{\star}^2} \int_0^{r_{\star}} r \mathrm{d}r \int \mathrm{d}z \rho_{\rm DM}(\sqrt{r^2 + z^2}).$$
 (4)

The integral over z extends to the virial boundary of a dark matter halo. We can obtain from (1), (2), (3) and (4)

$$S_{\rm NFW}(R) = \frac{4\rho_{\rm s} r_{\rm s}^3}{R^2} \left[ \frac{\arctan\sqrt{R^2 + r_{\rm s}^2 - 1}}{\sqrt{R^2/r_{\rm s}^2 - 1}} + \log\left(\frac{R}{2r_{\rm s}}\right) \right],\tag{5}$$

$$S_{\rm Burkert}(r_{\rm s}) \simeq 0.21 \log\left(\frac{M_{200}}{10^{10} M_{\odot}}\right) + 1.79,$$
 (6)

$$S_{\rm ISO}(R) = \frac{2\pi\rho_{\rm c}r_{\rm c}^2}{R^2} [\sqrt{R^2 + r_{\rm c}^2} - r_{\rm c}].$$
(7)

One finds  $r_{\rm s} \approx 6.1 r_{\rm c}$ ,  $\rho_{\rm s} \approx 0.11 r_{\rm c}$ . Comparing the column densities for the NFW and ISO profiles, whose parameters are related through the relation nom written, one obtains

$$\frac{S_{\rm NFW}(r_{\rm s})}{S_{\rm ISO}(6r_{\rm c})} \approx 0.91. \tag{8}$$

Similarly for the Burkert profile and the NFW profile:  $r_{\rm s} \approx 1.6 r_0$ ,  $\rho_{\rm s} \approx 0.37 \rho_0$  and

$$\frac{S_{\rm NFW}(r_{\rm s})}{S_{\rm burkert}(1.6r_0)} \approx 0.98. \tag{9}$$

<sup>\*</sup> Foundation item: National Natural Science Foundation of China (11205221)

The difference between the column densities  $S_{\rm NFW}$ ,  $S_{\rm Burkert}$  and  $s_{\rm ISO}$  turns out to be less than 10%. Then

$$\frac{S_{\rm NFW}(r_{\rm s})}{S_{\rm burkert}(1.6r_0)} \approx 0.98. \tag{10}$$

to dark matter surface densities obtained using the

quoted sample and with different methods. The filled

symbols represent the averged estimates of  $\log \mu_0 D$  when

a galaxy was present in more than one soure. The thin

solid line represents the result of our model for the sur-

face density when considering galaxies made of only

dark matter. The dashed light grey line denotes the

surface density obtianed when taking into account all

effects considered. For masses lower than  $\approx 5 \times 10^{10} M_{\text{sun}}$ 

and magnitudes brighter than  $M_{\rm B} \approx = -1.4$ , the surface

density is constant. However, a systematic change of

the awewege column density  $\rho_0 r_o$  as a function of the

object's mass is clearly present for langer masses. Such

results make, therefore, us safely argue against the con-

stancy or universality of the surface density claimed by

$$S_{\rm NFW}(r_{\rm s}) \approx 0.98 S_{\rm Burkert}(1.6r_0) \approx 0.91 S_{\rm ISO}(6r_{\rm c}) \approx 1.89 r_0 \rho_0$$

In Fig. 2, the thick-solid and dashed black lines represent the value of  $\log \mu_0 D = 2.15 \pm 0.2$ . The circles correspond



magnitude for different galaxies and Hubble types.

### References

- [1] A. Del Popolo, Modern Physics D, 23(2014)1430005.
- [2] A. Del Popolo, X. G. Lee, Baltic Astronomy, 25(2016)195.
- [3] Mordehai Milgrom, Phys. Rev. Lett., 117(2016)141101.

# 1 - 9 Higgs Portal Dark Matter Models\*

Ref. [3].

## Lee Xiguo

Higgs Portal dark matter have been supposed due to the two facts that dark matter has a gravitational force and has no strong interaction and electromagnetic interaction. A natural logic is the possibility of hiding dark matter. That is, the dark matter does not have the interaction of standard model. Dark matter may be hidden sector. The standard model particles are in the visible sector. The Higgs sector of the Standard Model enjoys a special feature that it can couple to the hidden sector at the renormalizable level. Ref.[1] has discussed the relationship between the standard model and hidden sector. Let H be Higgs field and  $\phi$ , be the "hidden Higgs field" in hidden sector. There are a "Higgs portal" interaction term between H and  $\phi$  as

$$V_{\text{portal}} = \lambda_{h\phi} H^+ H \phi^+ \phi. \tag{1}$$

Here the field  $\phi$  of hidden sector corresponds to the gauge symmetry breaking in hidden sector. It is assumed that the hidden sector gives the S(1) or SU(N) gauge symmetry, the matter field of standard model act naturally as the WIMP type matter field. In fact, the matter field and particles of standard model by weak coupling. To describe the standard model of dark matter the lagrangian method has been extended to increase the field in hidden sector lagrangian in standard model, then consider Higgs portal and discrete symmetres.

Scalar dark matter model<sup>[2]</sup>:Consider a simple field  $\phi$ , the all lagrangian can be written

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm Hidden} + \mathcal{L}_{\rm Portal},\tag{2}$$

where the  $\mathcal{L}_{\rm SM}$  is the lagrangian of standard model and

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Hidden}} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi - \frac{m_0^2}{2} \phi^2 - \frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{4} \phi^4 \tag{3}$$